Application No. Applicant(s) CALVILLO ET AL. 09/442,048 Interview Summary Examin r Art Unit DEBBIE M LE 2177 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Frak Liebenow, Reg. No. 48,688.. (1) DEBBIE M LE. (2) Scott Charles Richardson, Reg. No. 43,436. (4) Date of Interview: 15 October 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: independents claims. Identification of prior art discussed: *None*. Agreement with respect to the claims \mathfrak{H} was reached. \mathfrak{g} was not reached. \mathfrak{h} N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: General discussed claims. A proposed amendments to the claims will be sent to the examiner for discussion purposes. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. JOHN BREENE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a sign d Office action.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner's signature, if required

Public a

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/442,048 CALVILLO ET AL. Interview Summary Examin r Art Unit 2177 DEBBIE M LE All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Scott Charles Richardson, Reg. No. 43,436... (1) DEBBIE M LE. (4)_____. (2) Jean R. Homere. Date of Interview: 16 October 2003. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: claim_1... Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative described the invention and distinguish it over the prior art of record. After receiving the proposed amendments, an update search will be conducted and an Office Action will follow up... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. ipervisory patent examiner Debbre le **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required